



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23688
Title of the Manuscript:	Outdoor Air Quality and Acid Rains within Warri Refinery and Its Environs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As a reviewer I have the following remarks: 1. Abstract, lines 10-3, lease correct. 2. Line 24-25, for me it's not clear what the numbers mean, kilometers? (please specify). 3. Line 62, LPG? – defined? 4. The values in your tables, what do they show?, averages? If so please specify standard deviations or other characteristics. 5. Your results are in ppb or ppm, FEPA/WHO in mg/m3. You should convert them to be able to compare. Thank you	10-3, lease correct. Not informative. Numbers are in meters East of Greenwich and North of Equator. Corrected Liquefied petroleum gas. Defined. Standard deviations have been added to acid rain values. Air parameters are as determined morning, noon and evening mg/m³ is ppb which is 1000 times less than ppm. Necessary conversion already made and results re-discussed along this useful observation
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		