



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

|                          |                                                                     |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BJAST_23670                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mycoflora and Moisture Content of Garri Sold in Anyigba, Kogi State |
| Type of Article:         | Original Research Articles                                          |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>Introduction:</b><br/>                     Line 34 -36. I am sure so many authors would have reported the production process of garri. This paragraph should be summarized in one sentence with appropriate reference and merged with the paragraph above.</p> <p>With reference to the above highlighted paragraph, the response is quite understood. I agree with you.</p> <p>I suggest that you summarize this paragraph and merge it with the paragraph above.</p> <p>Line 48,, the word "Search" should be replaced with "Surveillance"<br/>                     Line 50 and 51: <i>Safety and safe handling</i> can be interpreted as repetition. The author should restructure the statement...<br/>                     Line 73: <b>conider</b> should be conidal</p> <p>Results and Discussion<br/> <b>Line 80.....</b> Moisture content <b>Or</b> should be changed to OF<br/>                     Line 95 – 96, the word SP., should be sp., not <b>sp.</b>, table 3: ditto: line 109----- ditto<br/>                     *****IMPORTANT*****</p> <p>This article is good although the scientific depth of the study is shallow. The authors should try to broaden the scope of similar study in the future.<br/>                     They should consider the proximate and full mineral analysis of the samples.</p> <p><b>Moreover, the authors should give reasons for the different fungal counts of the two samples (White and Yellow).</b></p> <p><b>Authors should also try to consider providing the results with respect to the markets and discuss them independently. I am sure the marketers in the two markets have different ways of handling there products.</b></p> | <p><b>Done. Please see revised copy</b></p> <p><b>Done. See previous revised copy sent.</b></p> <p><b>Done. See previous revised copy sent.</b><br/>                     Line 95 – 96: Corrected. However, ‘sp.,’ can be replaced with ‘spp.,’ since the former is singular and the latter, plural. Table 3: corrected.</p> <p>Will be considered in future studies.</p> <p>High moisture contents was given as reason for different fungal counts. Lines 107- 108.</p> <p>This was not considered in the course of this study as marketers from both markets patronized same processing plants where steps in production of garri is same for every sample produced and marketers imbibed same method of collection and transportation of finished product.</p> |