



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23513
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Storage Temperature on Postharvest Quality of Marula Fruits (<i>Sclerocarya birrea</i> spp. <i>Cafra</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The title of this MS should include all the information, such as the ripening and marketability after low temperature storage. 2. Before numbers, please add "the" or "a". 3. Please shorten the determination methods in this MS, much of them were common used. 4. All the Figures should be beautified and size uniformed. 5. Contents in "Abstract" and "conclusion" should be accordance, and shorten the "conclusion".	1. This was done. 2. Methods were written such that if anyone wanted to repeat the experiment, the procedure will be easy to follow. 3. Figures were resized 4. Abstract and conclusion edited.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		