



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23371
Title of the Manuscript:	OXYGEN AND HYDROGEN REACTION "IN SITU" WITH MAGNESIUM AND TANTALUM INTO MECHANICAL MILLING SYSTEM WHEN A SOLVENT IS USED
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1)lack of theoretical analysis with basic equations which are suggested to be added in the revised manuscript. 2)formation of hydrides “in situ” should be explained in detail. 3)some references format is not correct, authors should check carefully.	The three suggestions were corrected according to comments from reviewer, which they are indicated in the text
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1)authors are suggested to check grammar errors 2) references should be updated. 3)Conclusions part is not conclusive enough.	These suggestions were also corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NG	