



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22211
Title of the Manuscript:	AnOutlook on Tool Wear Mechanisms of Selected Cutting Tool Materials
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Change heading of chapter-5, Conclusion as Conclusions . 2. It would be much better if more references after 2010 are added. 3. Authors may keep the % contribution of work done in different tool material. 4. Authors may add scope of work after conclusions, so that readers can concentrate in that area.	Noted with thanks. (typo error) Noted with thanks. However, the journals referenced in this work are of top priority even in recent times.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		