



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_20512
Title of the Manuscript:	SANITATION AND HYGIENE PRACTICES OF NIGERIA'S COASTAL COMMUNITIES AND ASSOCIATED SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS: STUDY OF TWO AKWA IBOM COMMUNITIES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Are there any recent studies in your country regarding your research topic? It will help you when discussing. If yes then cite some recent literature not only old lit. 2) You gave results but I did not see data analysis i.e. try to compare for instance, could there be a relationship between Sanitation/ hygiene practices with socio-economic status, religion or culture? Analyse this data. If not recommend another study to do this. 3) Change the citations in the text into figures as reflected in BJAST template. 4) Separate Results from Discussion 5) Your discussion would be more interesting if you had compared practices with socio-economic characteristics, there could be a relationship. This would strongly give you good argument when discussing and making recommendation to stakeholders. 6) Any ethical considerations in this research? 7) Line 334, correct.....opied... 8) From Line 348 to 376, where are the recommendations you talked about? 9) Work on your references one by one following the journal's standard referencing system.	1. Yes, this is done. 2. The data is now analysed. 3. Done 4. Done 5. I have tried to come up with possible relationships 6. This study did not require ethical approval. However, the questionnaire was administered on only those community members who expressed willingness to participate in the exercise. 8. The 'recommendations' is removed 9. Done
Minor REVISION comments	1) Enter this work in the template for BJAST 2) Line 67: preferably, "Fig. 1: A map showing location of the study areas" 3) Tables need to be formatted according to the journal standard	1. Done 2. Correction has been effected
Optional/General comments	The work is interesting, however, recheck your work and make sure no paragraph is duplicate from other works.	We appreciate your observations and input