Reviewer's Comment:

The English language did not improve. For example the sentence "In the figures 2, 3 and 4 show the simulation result of MCNP4C, The curves of neutron flux verses energy for different thickness." is simply wrong. The authors tried to answer one of my comments but did not formulated it correctly.
The next problematic issue is the conclusion. The authors did respond to my comment but they just changed the sentence, and now they claim that GEANT4 and MCNP4C produce different results, but they do not comment it, or try to give an explanation or something... The researcher should try to explain the difference.
Also not to publish it as original research article. The manuscript has around 1200 words, which is not appropriate even for a short research article. Maybe, as a note.

Author's Feedback:

The result of MCNP4C simulation are shown in figures 2, 3 and 4. These figures shows the neutron flux verses energy for different thickness. As the respectable referee mentioned the manuscript has around 1200 words, but the revised version of manuscript has about 1600 words and I added many sentences to it. I check the manuscript carefully and change it to improve English language.