



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                            |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></b>          |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_BJAST_19827</b>                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Simulation of low energy neutron shielding by GEANT4 and MCNP4C cod</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original research papers</b>                                            |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <b>Authors are required to introduce the problem more carefully by presenting the real problem, the physics behind and then discuss the computational aspects, otherwise, the paper is too short and it is difficult to recognize where are novalties.<br/>I look forward to read the revised version.</b> | I changed the paper in accordance to your respectable referee comment.                                                                                                        |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                               |