SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_14930**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Seismic Early Warning Foundation Conditions Evaluation Survey for Civil Engineering Constructions in Akpabuyo Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Seismic Early Warning Foundation Conditions Evaluation Survey for Civil Engineering...** **The reviewed paper concerns with analysis of the seismic data obtained by the seismic refraction method used to study the rock/soil conditions for civil engineering construction in Akpabuyo (Nigeria). The aim of the research was to provide information on foundation materials, which would serve as an early warning data base for avoiding sites that are vulnerable to structural failures.** **The theme of the paper and the presented data can be interesting for a potential reader of the journal.** **Authors: It is very advisable to give information on types of soils listed in Tables 1 – 3.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Russia**