



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_8464
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of fermentation on the proximate, mineral and antinutritional composition of slimy kola (<i>Cola verticillata</i>) husk and white shell.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Language at places may be corrected.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Methods of fermentation have not been described. Solid and liquid state fermentation has to be elaborated for better understanding	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Results have to be correlated with the type of fermentation i.e. solid or liquid state fermentation. Simple changes in composition cannot be ascribed to fermentation.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabhakara Rao
Department, University & Country	Central Food technological Research Institute, Resource Centre, India