

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15910
Title of the Manuscript:	A NovelModel for Removing the Mixture Noise and Blur of the Image
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 the paper must be proofed by a native speaker of English. the paper is poorly organized, the reader expects any review of the existing works. What are the limitations of existing works ? Describe the organization of the paper, please. All symbols within the equations should be described. If you propose a new method, distinguish it clearly from the existing works, the best way to do it is to write a separate section regarding the contribution It is a pity that the contribution that is so effective and produce so good experimental results is not clearly described The lack of clarity is a distinctive feature of the paper, you should describe step-by step every detail of the mathematical part of the paper. Instead of huge number of equations that cannot be understood, it will be much better to provide only the most important of them but clearly described. The clarification of every symbol within the equations is necessary. 	



SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Poland