



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23031
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-Criteria comparison of sustainability in Brazilian, American and European banks
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the paper; the performance of five different banks located in Brazil, Europe and U.S.A was evaluated in terms of sustainability criterias by Eleetre III method. The literature used in the paper is adequate. The finding of the study is satisfactory. The author has explained the deficiencies and constraints of the study in detail. Various methods can be used while weighting the criterias in the study(AHP, Entrophy,etc..). Also, financial ratios related to banks could be determined as a criteria and the relationship between financial structure and sustainability could be searched. I am of the opinion that; the study, as a whole, will contribute to the literature.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kemal Vatansever
Department, University & Country	Pamukkale University, Denizli, Turkey