



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23031
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-Criteria comparison of sustainability in Brazilian, American and European banks
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please, explain what does it mean "AMD" in the Abstract? It is not an abbreviation of decision support multicriteria analysis. Moreover, the acronym is not used in the text. Is it necessary in the Abstract?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please, add additional references 1) Review paper on application of MCDM methods in economic: Zavadskas, E.K., Turskis, Z., 2011. Multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) methods in economics: an overview. Technological and Economic Development of Economy 17 (2), 397–427. 2) Review paper about ELECTRE developments and applications (article in press, available online): Govindan, K., Jepsen, M.B. ELECTRE: A comprehensive literature review on methodologies and applications, European Journal of Operational Research (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.07.019	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurgita Antucheviciene
Department, University & Country	Department of Construction Technology and Management, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania