



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22389
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLICATION OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON GROUNDWATER RESOURCES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study has focused on a very important topic. However I have reservations with the conclusions. The study does not conclusively establish the presence of climate change phenomena in the States being analysed and should state so clearly. It is not right to mention in the conclusions that “climate change effect may be setting in” (as mentioned in abstract); or “This implies that the climate change may be just beginning” (as mentioned in Results and Discussion) ;or “It was found that amount of rainfall have been increasing for the decades considered and the surface air; temperature has changed slightly. Therefore, climate change may just be beginning to occur” as mentioned in conclusions.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 2 of Abstract should be 'were' in place of was	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amardeep Singh
Department, University & Country	Central Soil & Materials Research Station, New Delhi, India