



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_21520
Title of the Manuscript:	HEAVY METAL PROFILE OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS FOUND IN THE VICINITY OF QUARRY SITE IN DEMSA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ADAMAWA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																																							
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. There are some typographical mistakes. These are given below. They may be corrected and resubmitted. <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Line</td><td>wrong</td><td>right</td></tr> <tr> <td>8, 19</td><td><i>Sterculiar</i></td><td><i>Sterculia</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>9</td><td><i>and</i></td><td>and</td></tr> <tr> <td>10</td><td><i>sterculia</i></td><td><i>Sterculia</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>12, 13, 17, 94</td><td><i>reticulate</i></td><td><i>reticulata</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>16, 95</td><td><i>leocarpus</i></td><td><i>leiocarpus</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>17</td><td><i>prosopis</i></td><td><i>Prosopis</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>78</td><td>includes:-</td><td>include</td></tr> <tr> <td>101, 102, 108</td><td>was</td><td>were</td></tr> <tr> <td>Table 1</td><td><i>Leiocarpus</i></td><td><i>leiocarpus</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>142</td><td>Pbwas</td><td>Pb was</td></tr> <tr> <td>150</td><td>willin</td><td>will</td></tr> <tr> <td>151</td><td>carry</td><td>carried</td></tr> </table> Page 103 by [11]. It should be by Barminas et al. [11] Similarly line 136 given by [11,12]. Give names of authors 139 by [12] – give author name 144 of [9, 12] – give authors names. For such a work voucher specimens must have been collected. They should have been deposited in a recognized herbarium. Please mention the Herbarium where the specimens have been deposited. Journal names have been given in normal letters at some places and italics at some other places. Please follow journal format and give uniformly.	Line	wrong	right	8, 19	<i>Sterculiar</i>	<i>Sterculia</i>	9	<i>and</i>	and	10	<i>sterculia</i>	<i>Sterculia</i>	12, 13, 17, 94	<i>reticulate</i>	<i>reticulata</i>	16, 95	<i>leocarpus</i>	<i>leiocarpus</i>	17	<i>prosopis</i>	<i>Prosopis</i>	78	includes:-	include	101, 102, 108	was	were	Table 1	<i>Leiocarpus</i>	<i>leiocarpus</i>	142	Pbwas	Pb was	150	willin	will	151	carry	carried	
Line	wrong	right																																							
8, 19	<i>Sterculiar</i>	<i>Sterculia</i>																																							
9	<i>and</i>	and																																							
10	<i>sterculia</i>	<i>Sterculia</i>																																							
12, 13, 17, 94	<i>reticulate</i>	<i>reticulata</i>																																							
16, 95	<i>leocarpus</i>	<i>leiocarpus</i>																																							
17	<i>prosopis</i>	<i>Prosopis</i>																																							
78	includes:-	include																																							
101, 102, 108	was	were																																							
Table 1	<i>Leiocarpus</i>	<i>leiocarpus</i>																																							
142	Pbwas	Pb was																																							
150	willin	will																																							
151	carry	carried																																							



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T.Pullaiiah
Department, University & Country	Department of Botany, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, India