



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_21379
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF YEARS OF EXPERIENCE ON THE LEVEL OF TASK PERFORMANCE BY PRIMARY HEALTH CARE WORKERS (PHEW) IN ENUGU STATE
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The content of this study is very solid, and its discussion analysis is also logic and actual. I have some suggestions of this manuscript: 1. It is better that the title of this manuscript should be changed to "Assessment....State of Nigeria". 2. You should notice the blank space on your whole manuscript. 3. The grammar of this manuscript needs to be checked carefully. 4. In this manuscript, the content of table 1 and 2 should be explained in detail. Besides, the form of table 1 and 2 should be adjusted. 5. In table 2, you should explain what does "*" mean clearer?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Open University of Kaohsiung, Taiwan