SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_21379**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF YEARS OF EXPERIENCE ON THE LEVEL OF TASK PERFORMANCE BY PRIMARY HEALTH CARE WORKERS (PHEW) IN ENUGU STATE**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Ethical issue: There are not signed informed consent nor Ethics Committee approval of the study.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**The ms needs an English language proficiency since it has many grammatical errors.** **There are not a signed informed consent nor an Ethics Committee approval.** **Data seems repeated in Results, it is recommended to show just data in Tables and not also in text.** **The questionnaire does not seem to be validated, there is no information about name of it or who developed it.** **References seem to be not updated.**	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Diana C. Tapia-Pancardo**
Department, University & Country	**Faculty of Higher Studies Iztacala, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico**