SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_19896**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cloud Based Architecture Solution for Aircraft Flight Data Recorder**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**There are many grammatical mistakes throughout the document. Specifically there are multiple run-on sentences (e.g the first 2 sentences in the abstract). Overall this document is not very professionally prepared, and is absolutely not ready for consideration as a journal publication. Significant revision is required before a reasonable review can be conducted.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Fig. 1 is not very useful or professional. Consider a screenshot rather than a picture of a laptop.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Penn State University, USA**