SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_19082**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Technologies in Texture Analysis – A Review**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Proper up-to-date review is not done, instead, part of different papers are accumulated. 2. The objective of this paper is bridging the technological gap and to highlight the problem area faced in the field of texture recognition. But the objective is not at all touched upon.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Very Poor literature survey has been done 2. There are many Spelling mistakes and Grammatical errors Part of different papers are accumulated	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**S.Selva Nidhyananthan**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Mepco Schlenk Engineering College,India**