



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19082
Title of the Manuscript:	Technologies in Texture Analysis - A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors present a state of the art in texture analysis. They describe recent work in texture analysis and recognition. They mainly concentrated on skin texture recognition and present different techniques in this domain. Authors must focus or present a separate paragraph describing the personal work and comparing with other works. 1. Reference must be clearly cited in the text by the corresponding work (ex : as described in the work [..]) without citing the title of the work. 2. Authors must explain the number of 13 neurons in input layers while only 4 feature texture and 3 color components in (R,G,B) space. 3. Define the database used for skin recognition in page 6. 4. Authors must define the training mean squared error in training phase (the value fixed for this purpose (0.001 or 0.001...etc)). 5. Fleamin et al , refrence 29 in page 11 doesn't exist. Please remove it from the text or add the corresponding reference. Also for Beta et al. 6. In page 12, authirs present the earlier work ([14] [15]); this reference doesn't exist. 7. Reference 18, 37 in page 13 doesn't exist.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. No reference cited in paragraph II (page 3), the same comment for paragraph III: texture recognition. 2. Reference must be added in page 4, without	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	citing the name of the Elsevier journal (Integrated cortical Method). 3. See figure 2 in page 5, reference in the end of figure caption. 4. Correct the typing errors like (perceptron in page 8, efficacy in page 9, 5. Figure 11 B is not clear. Define the figure caption. 6. Author cited fig 6 in page 15 : they must clarify or remove it. 7. Define the Nrgb space in page 16. 8. Correct the reference [4]: it doesn't correspond to IEEE transactions journal. 9. Reference [5] is not complete. 10. References must be formulated (Author, title, journal or conference; etc). 11. Correct Reference [10]: Digital communications, 2009, ICDT'09, p59-64, ISBN 978-0-7695-3695-8.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Conclusion section must clearly describe the aim of the work and presents the advantages of the major techniques used or presented in previous work.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of science and Technology Houari Boumediene ,Algiers, Algeria