SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_18996**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of Two different Methods of processing Jackbean (Canavalia ensiformis) meal as a Feed ingredient for pigs.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction is short and it needs more references. This article needs updated references. It is necessary to enter tables 2 and 3 in the results and discussion. In the Word file there are more comments.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sandra Patricia Chaparro Acuna**
Department, University & Country	**Chemical Sciences Department, Pedagogical and Technological University of Colombia, Colombia**