



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_18996
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Two different Methods of processing Jackbean (Canavalia ensiformis) meal as a Feed ingredient for pigs.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Topic ought to be ' effects of different Methods of processing Jackbean (Canavalia ensiformis) meal as a Feed ingredient for pigs.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Author should expand on the anti-nutritional factors giving the psychology and physiology of the meal to pigs	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Should relates it more to other literatures and authors sitable on this jackbeans	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogori Akama Friday
Department, University & Country	Department of Home Economics, Federal College of Education, Kontagora, Nigeria