



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22839
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution Model Analysis of Group Buying Games
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The mathematical approach is correct but the explanation is very short. I mean that mathematical results are understandable but there is not economic explanation. They should include more "economics" not only the results. Explain what the results mean in economic terms. How could you apply your mathematical results to markets?	Thanks for the valuable suggestions. We have added a conclusion to show the possible role for the mechanism designing by the analysis results.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		