



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22262
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CASSAVA (<i>MANIHOT ESCULENTA</i> CRANTZ) FERMENTATION AND DETOXIFICATION USING PREVIOUSLY CASSAVA-FERMENTED CHIPS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	he paper is of scientific interest, and the topic falls within the scope of the journal. The paper is written in clear and understandable manner, material and methods are described extensively and the results are convincing. However, there some minor corrections to be done before it is considered for publication. These include: Abstract Study design: Replace the statement: "The study was based on comparison of the means of different essays by analysis of variance (ANOVA)." With this one: "An experimental study design was employed in order to achieve the objective of the study." - Make the necessary replacements in the following lines: - Line 23 replace "[6, 7, 2, 8]" with "[2, 6-8]" - Line 30 replace "[9, 10, 11, 12]" with "[9-12]" - Use appropriate tools for designing of Figure 2. - Line 151 should read: "... Baranyi and Robert [17] ..."	All revisions prescribed by the reviewer have been done and the Figure 2 in the text has been designed with the use of appropriate tools as possible. We hope that all the remarks bring by reviewer have understood and respected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		