



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22262
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CASSAVA (<i>MANIHOT ESCULENTA</i> CRANTZ) FERMENTATION AND DETOXIFICATION USING PREVIOUSLY CASSAVA-FERMENTED CHIPS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References should be updated. Authors should bring novelty in it and improve english	Some references have been updated as follow: - Reference[1], Cock et al., 1988 have by changed with Montagnac et al., 2009; - Reference [9], Okafor et al., 1984 have been changed by Assonvo et al., 2006 - And reference [23], Vasconcelos et al., 1990 have been changed by Nambisan 2010. While others like Braumann et al., 1994; Bradbury et al., 1998 or Baranyi and Robert 1994, have been maintained because the constitute the base articles of this work.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		