



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22187
Title of the Manuscript:	The Spatial Response of Penh as a Secondary Readout for the Airway Hyper-reactivity in Three Different Rodent Models of Airway Inflammation
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 68..check the grammer. Line 77-83 not necessary as could be taken in the experimental aspects THE REASERACH IS MORE OR LESS A COMPARISON STUDY AS SUCH THE AUTHOUR(S) SHOULD REFLECT SAME IT THE TITLE Ethical Issues: YES USE OF ANIMALS BUT HAS BEEN TAKEN CARE OFF	Author thanks review's comment and all points are well taken Ans 1: MS has been revised followed the review's comment Ans 2: We have revised the MS and allocate the materials into the appropriated sections and only keep the general materials that were used for all three models. Ans 3: MS has been revised followed the review's comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		