



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_21379
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF YEARS OF EXPERIENCE ON THE LEVEL OF TASK PERFORMANCE BY PRIMARY HEALTH CARE WORKERS (PHEW) IN ENUGU STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical issue: There are not signed informed consent nor Ethics Committee approval of the study.	The all the health centres used for the study did not have ethical committee. But informed consents were obtained from individual respondents and the heads of the unit.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The ms needs an English language proficiency since it has many grammatical errors. There are not a signed informed consent nor an Ethics Committee approval. Data seems repeated in Results, it is recommended to show just data in Tables and not also in text. The questionnaire does not seem to be validated, there is no information about name of it or who developed it. References seem to be not updated.	All the corrections were done in line with the reviewer's comments
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		