



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                       |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</a>                                                   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_BJAST_19811                                                                                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUTION OF NUTRITIONAL, ANTI-NUTRITIONAL, ELEMENTAL CONTENT AND AMINO ACID PROFILE OF Azanza garckaena (GORON TULA) |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                             |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>- The title of manuscript contains spelling error and should be correct to EVALUATION</p> <p>-Introduction should be rewritten and ameliorated. Some paragraphs should be merged. Introduction did not explain what was done on the nutritive value of <i>Azanza garckaena</i> , or review pertinent literature.</p> <p>- Most procedures must be described in detail for the reader's understanding. Determination of anti-nutrients and Determination of vitamin (A, B1, B2, C, and E) content “should be rewritten. The authors should describe the principle of method.</p> <p>-Results should be write clearly, particularly Table 6 should be rewritten. The discussion section needs to be improved.</p> <p>Please clarify the plagiarism issue: If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links. indigenous fruit trees, although undomesticated,<br/>Existing (Oct 2, 2004)<br/>nuts, fruits, spices, leafy vegetables, edible oil and<br/>Existing (May 26, 2015)<br/>and minerals, while others are high in vegetable oil and</p> | <p>Agreed and corrected.</p> <p>The appropriate corrections have been made to favour this comment.</p> <p>The principles, conditions and details of the methods used can be found in the references given (some of which are our previous work). This format of presentation aim to reduce the volume of the work, which is acceptable.</p> <p>We however yield not to any plagiarism. The phrases and sentences in this work are framed to suit the work to the best of its presentation.</p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|  | <p>Existing (May 26, 2015)<br/>production and cash, the extensive list of<br/>benefits includes<br/>Existing (May 26, 2015)<br/>includes firewood, fodder,<br/>Existing (Oct 2, 2004)<br/>Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa,<br/>Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe<br/>Existing (Oct 2, 2004)</p> |  |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p>   | <p>- There are some grammatical suggestions in some sentences<br/>Line 36: They are <u>an important traditional source</u>.<br/>Line 41: oil and <u>protein</u> contents.<br/>Line 53; have <u>a large family size</u>.<br/>Line 54: <u>related to inadequate</u><br/>Line 59: formed <u>to prevent</u> the absorption<br/>Line 87 : as <u>a green manure</u><br/>Line 89: as <u>a basic condition</u><br/>Line 91: provide <u>the basis</u><br/>Line 120; obtained <u>from</u><br/>Line 134: effect <u>on</u> man<br/>Line 156: formed <u>to prevent</u><br/>Line 238: <u>toxicological evaluation ???</u></p> | <p>Agreed, and appropriate corrections have been made.</p>                                                                                                                                   |
| <p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p> | <p>*The authors present a good idea to study, but the manuscript is not well written .<br/>*I think the motivations for this study need to be made clearer.<br/>* Discussion need to condense comparing your finding with similar other recent studies<br/>*The literature cited is relevant to the study, but Old reference need updating,</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <p>Even though some corrections and additions has been made, we believe a keener review will yield a better comment.</p> <p>Findings are adequately compared with some previous findings</p> |