Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_18996**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of Two different Methods of processing Jackbean (Canavalia ensiformis) meal as a Feed ingredient for pigs.**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Topic aught to be '; effects of different Methods of processing Jackbean (Canavalia ensiformis) meal as a Feed ingredient for pigs.**	Accepted
Minor REVISION comments	Author should expand on the anti-nutritional factors giving the psychology and physiology of the meal to pigs	The anti-nutritional factors and its psychology and physiology of the meals to pigs is explained in the discussion.
Optional/General comments	Should relates it more to other literatures and authors sitable on this jackbeans	Accepted and done