



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_18615
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamic Modeling of a Futuristic Frame-Based Knowledge Representation Using Unified Modeling Language (UML): A Case of an Intelligent Drug Marketers Tracking System (IDMTS)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- The title is too long. 2- There is no clear contribution in this manuscript. 3- The Implementation aspects and Results sections are missed while they are vital to evaluate the article.	Well, it to convey the intention of the article. There is a contribution because the combination of UML and Frame-based modelling is not a common design technology, so, proposing and modelling it is a clear scholarly contribution of the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	1- Tables at page 8 need to get labels. 2- Figures 5.A and 5.B are better to be numbered as 5 and 6. 3- The caption of Fig. 8 is missed.	Correction effected.
Optional/General comments		