



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17592
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ART OF B2B INTEGRATION (A STUDY OF THE STATE OF ART B2B INTEGRATION PATTERNS, COMPONENTS AND ARCHITECTURES)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In my opinion, the paper is quite well written and as a survey paper can be published in BJASt. However, there should be corrected the following mistakes/errors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the second sentence of the abstract is not finished - Line 12: the sentence should be rewritten in a more readable form - references should be correct: eg. system(Laudon&Traver, 2013) => systems (Laudon & Traver, 2013) - Line 66: The enumerated list should be commented – why these features are listed here? - Line 84 – the sentence is not finished - Line 86 – is the solutions => is a solution - Line 126- the must => they must - Line 127- exists => exist - The authors should put the references to the figures placed in the text like “see Fig. 1” etc. - Line 135- application => applications - The authors claim that they write a survey paper but the almost always they cite the Samtani, Healey & Samtani, 2002 work. They should refer to other works more. Now it looks like a discussion on one scientific paper. - Line 234- unnecessary repetition. - Line 264- is extremely => exptremely 	<p>These errors are corrected and the paper redone to give a clearer picture of the survey.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 269- f => if - Line 296- businesses requires => businesses require - Line 309- his type=> its type - Line 323- goals is => goal is - Line 354 - irrespective => irrespectively - Line 367- number business => number of business - Line 370- increase => increases - Line 449- hosed=> hosted - Line 495 and close to it - the text is not formatted correctly - The conclusion section should be rewritten again - now it looks strange - Line 529 is unnecessary - Line 530 - u.a. can be deleted - Lines 533 & 534 - the press should be placed 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		