



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14691
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Raw, Semi-Processed and Completely Processed Palm Oils

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1) The Nomenclature <u>IS NOT</u> the bibliography!!!!!! The nomenclature is the list of all acronyms used in the paper: A area [m²] V velocity [m/s] PV photovoltaic etc etc 2) Conclusions remain poor!!!!!! In the conclusions the authors have to say what motivated them in research, what are the results obtained, which benefits have made, what to expect for the future. To say that the results are good and that the rate of a compound is fine, it is not enough. 3) When a comparison is made between the experimental data and numerical data, the experiments procedure must be described in detail, as anyone should be able to reproduce the tests, if it wants to. To this point, the authors ' response is still not enough	The list of ACRONYMS has been incorporated in to the article, just before the list of references. The conclusion has been updated in-line with the reviewer's comments The grammar has been made clear enough for the experiments to be reproduced.