



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15910
Title of the Manuscript:	A NovelModel for Removing the Mixture Noise and Blur of the Image
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the paper must be proofed by a native speaker of English. - the paper is poorly organized, the reader expects any review of the existing works. - What are the limitations of existing works ? Describe the organization of the paper, please. - All symbols within the equations should be described. - If you propose a new method, distinguish it clearly from the existing works, the best way to do it is to write a separate section regarding the contribution - It is a pity that the contribution that is so effective and produce so good experimental results is not clearly described - The lack of clarity is a distinctive feature of the paper, you should describe step-by step every detail of the mathematical part of the paper. Instead of huge number of equations that cannot be understood, it will be much better to provide only the most important of them but clearly described. The clarification of every symbol within the equations is necessary. 	<p>-We have proofed our manuscript by a native speaker of English and modified the corresponding parts. Thanks for the reviewer's suggestions.</p> <p>-The review of the existing works have been expected in the part of introduction, in section 1, we introduce ROF model, AA model, HNW model and HNZ model etc. And we also discuss the advantage and disadvantage before or after the introduction of each model. More details can be found in section 1.</p> <p>-In section 1, we introduce some important models such as ROF model, AA model, HNW model and HNZ model, etc. Before or after the introduction of the model, we describe the limitation and superiority of it. More details can be found in the part of introduction. The organization of our paper, we show it as follows: In section 1, we introduce the development of the technology about image denoised and deblurred, and describe the superiority and</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<p>limitation of each model. We propose our model in section 1, similarly, we explain the characteristic of our model. Some properties about the proposed model are obtained in section 2. In section 3, an alternating minimization algorithm of our model is developed. Some numerical experiments are listed in section 4 to illustrate the performance of the proposed algorithm. Finally, a conclusion is given in section 5. More details can be found on page 3.</p> <p>- The symbols have been described in the revised paper, such as the symbols D after the equation (1.1) on page 1 and u after the equation (1.6) on page 2.</p> <p>- In section 4, we add three figures to compare our results with HNZ model, and revise some literature.</p> <p>-The superiority of our method is described in section 1, after the equation (1.7) on page 2.</p> <p>-In the revised paper, we have explained some important symbols. We use these equations to discuss the characteristic of our model, such as the existence,</p>
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

		<p>uniqueness, and comparing principle of the model. After each theorem, we explain the significance by the remark, More details can be found in section 2.</p> <p>Thanks for your valuable comments, they are significance for improving our paper.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		