



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14691
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Raw, Semi-Processed and Completely Processed Palm Oils

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Please check editing. There is some mistake. i.e. equation 1 must be written (1) etcetc nomenclature still missing The results must be discussed in details and must be more "solid"- It is not sufficient to write that the results are close to those collected by other authors. Otherwise this is a report not a paper conclusion is poor no deep analysis of the tests is developed	All the equations have been corrected accordingly. The missing nomenclature has been corrected as shown below: Addis, P. B.; Park, S. W. Role of Lipid Oxidation Products in Artherosclerosis. In: Food Toxicology. A perspective on the Relative Risks. Eds: S.L. Taylor and R.A. Scanlan. Marcel Dekker: New York, 1989, 297-330. The article actually looked at the AV, PV, IV and Fe levels in Raw, Semi processed and Completely processed Palm Oils and compared the values with Codex standards to assess their edibility. It only went further to look at other related studies, to compare the results. I think the discussion is within the scope of the objectives. The conclusion was drawn from the findings in the report. This finding below has been added to the conclusion: The significantly higher iodine value of <i>E. guineensis</i> when compared with <i>E. oleifera</i> indicates that it will be more unsaturated and would have a shorter shelf life. The laboratory test methods are common methods and have been referenced. Also, the statistical tools applied are elementary. They are just the right tools to use for the information required. I would like to say thank you for the critical review of this article. I sincerely do appreciate it, as it has built me to be better and stronger and I believe it has improved the quality of the article. Thank you.