



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14266
Title of the Manuscript:	Modulation of Immunological and Hematological disturbances of diabetes mellitus by Diets containing combined leaves of Vernonia amygdalina and Gongronema latifolium

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I suggest to put the explanations of abbreviations from Table 2 and 3: NC in line 108 NC in line 117 INSD in line 121	The explanations have been given in the Tables behind the abbreviations. In addition, a section has been created for abbreviations in the main text.
Table 2 in PLT column: We have: 6.19+- 19.08 and similarly below - is it correct? Table 3 in Basophil column: What does the result 0.00+- 15.38 mean? I would also expect (if such a data are available) the statement about diabetic problems in African countries where the studied plants are popularly used in soups.	The correct value is 6.19 ± 19.08. This has been highlighted in the Table 2. The correct value is 0.00 ± 0.00 and has been corrected. Literature on the used of the studied plants for soup is abundant and could be seen in http://www.allnigerianrecipies.com/soup/bitterleaf.soup.html, http://allnigerianfoods.com/nigerian.bitterleaf.soup, http://www.dobbyssignature.com/2012/09/ofe.utasi.html.