



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14000
Title of the Manuscript:	Levelling the ground for innovation and regional development in developing countries through APA (Automatic Patent Analysis)

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
In this revised version, I still recommend an English revision by a native speaker since many grammar errors and type mistakes are still present. I guess that some certificates obtained by Journal of American Experts, British Proof Reading or similar would be mandatory in this case. In several different parts of the text, the description is confused and must be rewritten. The concept of world patent, WO, instead of World patent application continues wrong although this will not interfere in the quality of the paper. No one will get a WO granted patent. WO is related only to patent application. Several mistakes concerning this can be found in papers from a huge diversity of research areas. Although, as I said, this will not interfere in the final quality of paper. I don't understand why in the text free information for under development countries is emphasized if a very expensive Matheo Software is utilized. The focus of the paper is confused. The analysis was made up regarding coconut, fiber, moringa and so on. Although it is possible to connect these contents, the information of these is dispersed through the text. The APA methodology is not trustable and the results should be more explored in depth. Above all, I guess that after a strong grammar revision by a native speaker the paper can be considered to publication.	This is the sort of "distinguish segregation" done by English speaking versus non English speaking. It just remembers me when I was the French representative at the International Oceanographic Commission in UN! In colloquial language and especially in developing countries, people use both. Matheo Patent, software which was developed (in part) a lab at the university of Marseille is one of the most powerful and cheaper APA software in the market. Moreover compare to the downloading of data from the EPO classical Internet access it goes about 500 faster and it allows to search on data interval, string searching and. Reformatting tools are available (inventor and applicant names), and the download limit is 2000 patents instead of 500. All of it for 690 € per year. Hundred of papers dealing with the concept are available in the literature.