



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_8464
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of fermentation on the proximate, mineral and antinutritional composition of slimy kola (<i>Cola verticillata</i>) husk and white shell.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Language at places may be corrected.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Methods of fermentation have not been described. Solid and liquid state fermentation has to be elaborated for better understanding	The introduction had to be reduced due to the comment of the second reviewer. Fermentation was just mentioned generally.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Results have to be correlated with the type of fermentation i.e. solid or liquid state fermentation. Simple changes in composition cannot be ascribed to fermentation.	Noted and corrected