



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14691
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Raw, Semi-Processed and Completely Processed Palm Oils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Missing a reference nomenclature The experimentation is to extend and describe in detail. No indication on the method, no procedure of the experiment is provided. How can I repeat the tests?. How can I use them? What errors there are in measurements? How I can mitigate "these errors? etc etc. The results seem to appear out from nowhere. Finally, conclusions are to be rewritten completely, describing the contribution of authors, what benefits you have in working with data, how to repeat and improve the tests	Further elaboration has been done by adding the equations used in calculating the parameters. Also, the ASS method used has been referenced; the full methodology can be gotten from the reference. In Table 1, the means and standard deviations of triplicate measurements were stated, indicating the error or precision of the methods applied.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		