



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14046
Title of the Manuscript:	AN UNRESOLVABLE PARADIGM -TOO MUCH WATER – LIMITED WATER SUPPLIES? A SOUTH FLORIDA CONUNDRUM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Journal format has not been followed in preparation of manuscript by author(s). Abstract: Author(s) need to prepare abstract as structured format with the following beheadings: Aims; Study Design; Place and Duration of Study; Methodology; Results; Conclusion. Keywords: Keywords that will be used to index your work need to be provided. Grammatical and repetition errors should be corrected for better understanding of audience. For instance: Page 2 Line 29 all through to Line 33 - 'that in' should be inserted between 'noted' and 'the' on Line 29; 'further that the cause was suggested' should be deleted between 'suggested' on Line 30 and 'that' on Line 31. Page 3 Line 52 - 'of' should be inserted between 'lack' and 'good' Page 4 Line83 - 'of' should be inserted between 'areas' and 'coastal' Page 10 Line 225 - 'are' should be inserted between 'areas' and 'important' Page 12 Line 257 - 'of' should be inserted between 'protective' and 'both'.	Abstract revised to match this format Added Corrected, thank you Corrected, thank you Corrected, thank you Corrected, thank you Corrected, thank you Corrected, thank you



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Several problems with references! Author(s) should revise the citation of references in the text and preparation of list of references. - References are to be numbered on the list of references and not arranged alphabetically as done. - Corresponding numbers of references on list and not actual names and year should be cited in text. - Some references are written differently in the text and list of references. For instance: Bloetscher, 2009 in text but Bloetscher et al on list Bloetscher, 2010 in text but Bloetscher et al on list Two references written according to journal format are: Bloetscher F, Meeroff DE, Heimlich BN, Brown AR, Bayler D, Loucraft M. Improving the Resilience of a Municipal Water Utility Against the Likely Impacts of Climate Change: A Case Study: City of Pompano Beach, FL. J American Water Works Assoc. 2010; 102:36-46. Bloetscher F, Heimlich BN, Roman T. Counteracting the Effects of Sea Level Rise in Southeast Florida. J Environ Sci Eng. 2011; 5(11):1507-1525.	All reference formats revised and numbered, corrected. The only difference is we list date after authors
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The subject of storm water and water supply are important from environmental and public health perspectives. Author(s) revised available data on several appropriate parameters to procure solutions to challenges of storm water control and water supply in low lying areas (coastal) and island communities. Author(s) however need to revise manuscript thoroughly and correct for grammatical, repetition and format errors. Author(s) should use template provide in 'Instructions to authors' in Sciencedomain website to prepare manuscript. Main headings and beheadings are supposed to be appropriately numbered.	Revised. Thank you Revised to match headings requested by reviewers.