



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13622
Title of the Manuscript:	Aflatoxin M1 in Milk and Milk Products in Jordan and Methods for its Detoxification
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should give one more table of Tolerance level in different countries	<p>Most or all tolerance level of AFM1 in different countries use the maximum tolerance levels of either European countries levels of 50 ppt, or USA levels of 500 ppt but there are also some countries with country specific levels that are in between these two levels.</p> <p>We have added this to the discussion. For example the Latin American countries are adopting the USA levels and most other countries in Asia and Africa are using the European levels. Therefore we believe that giving a table with a very long list of tolerance levels is not of scientific value.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction Line 20 Aspergillus flavus and A.parasiticus should be italics Line 21 other related species. Mention some other species Line 47,48, 49 Better to give it in tabular form Line 50-55 The name of plant should be in italics Material and Method Line 60 Delete or brand, the number in bracket should be written in (n=3) like that</p>	<p>Done.</p> <p>Other species deleted</p> <p>Done. Done.</p> <p>Done.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Line 67 Ten gram should be written as 10 gm</p> <p>Line 68 One ml should be written as 1 ml</p> <p>Line 72. ELISA technique should be replace with Quantification of AFM1 by ELISA. Give reference of this method</p> <p>Line 81-83 The sensitivity of ELISA method for AFM1 detection has been investigated by many investigators and compared to other methods, such HPLC and found to be more sensitive and a reliable method than HPLC.</p> <p>Line 84 Line not make sense</p> <p>Line 99-102 Write equation properly</p> <p>Line 104 (concentration is up to 80 not 100, 100 is missing</p> <p>Line 108 Fermentation should be fermentation</p> <p>Line 109 Experimented should be changed to tested</p> <p>Line 121 Three should be 3</p> <p>Line 126 Plant name should be iitalics</p> <p>Line 133 described by name is missing</p> <p>Line 144-145 No need of this line</p> <p>Line 175 Name of the fungi should be A. flavus and A.parasiticus and in italics</p>	<p>It is not recommended to start a sentence with numbers, so we only put it in writing if the sentence starts with it otherwise we use 10 gm as the reviewer suggested.</p> <p>Done unless the sentence starts with it. Done.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Rewritten. Equation reorganized. Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Done. Name is added. Deleted. Corrected.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>In whole manuscript please check the degree Celsius (°C) which is missing in Figure and text also</p>	<p>Done.</p>