



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13552
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF PARTICLE SIZE AND SOAKING TIME ON SURFACE HARDNESS OF CARBURIZED AISI 1018 STEEL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper describes the effect of carburizing on surface hardness of C16 steel. Several parameters were considered, and improved property was obtained. However, I don't recommend because the paper needs strong modifications detailed below. 1. The authors should improve the language throughout their manuscript. A lot of sentences that don't make sense. Using the simple expression helps you be direct and keeps your sentences from being too wordy. The poor English makes it difficult to follow the scientific ideas. 2. The authors emphasize the statement of the hardness results, and ignore any explanation or analysis. The whole paper lacks a macro theory, so it is difficult to form a complete research.	The language throughout the manuscript has been improved. There are no vague statements or sentences in this research. I totally disagree with the reviewer. The scientific ideas are totally clear and straight forward. We use standard software like GRAMMARLY to check the grammatical errors apart from each author taking time to read the manuscript meticulously. Even we do plagiarism test before sending any article out. It is a must and the plagiarism test for this article is 12%. The hardness results have been well explained again in the results and discussion. More literatures have been added to demystify the results and discussions even for ordinary reader.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		