



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13514
Title of the Manuscript:	DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING METHOD FOR OPTIMIZING STOCK ALLOCATION USING CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is not written in a proper way. There are many technical errors and some information contained in the paper is redundant. Therefore, it is needed one more revision. The main drawbacks/mistakes are: - 43: etc - The whole manuscript: font style, size and adjustment is changing - 58: the formula should be rewritten correctly - 62: ut - 63: T, T - 64: set of at at - 85: the basic information about the polynomials are too simple to be published in the scientific journal in a so long form - 91: ai/l – this is not a standard notation - 96: the approximate => the approximation - 131: De-moivre => de Moivre - 142: formula (5) should be corrected (typo errors) - 144: this is placed above (it is not needed to repeat so simple information) - 154: the formula contains typo errors and should be placed in one line - 159: lies on - 165: the sentence is not clear - 187: typo errors in formula - 238: nd - 268: there is no citation - All the theorems should be clearly marked with citations to the sources	Removed Harmonized Done Corrected Corrected Corrected Done Done Effectuated Corrected Done Corrected Corrected Corrected Restated Corrected Corrected Please Sir, the citations are the numbers in blocks(square brackets) shown in the references.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- All the theorems should have the traditional form: Theorem [citation]. Text of the theorem - 285 and next lines: chebyshev - 288: the proofs of the well-known theorems should not be given in the text (just references should be placed) - 300: 522 523 - 307: the sentence contains typo errors - 317: as above - 319: ffunction - 333: the sentence is not clear - 342: 000 – what does it mean? - 346: unnecessary repeating - 347: too many scholar calculations - 364: her - 387: there is therefore - REFERENCES: the pp. should be given when journals, [3] is just graduate school publication, another should be given here - 419: e-mail is not necessary here - The authors should be consequent doing the references (i.e. they should do the references in one style) - 444: year -	Sir, please could this be ignored ? Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Explained sir Explained sir OK sir Ok sir Done OK sir Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Plagiarism issues-: It is difficult to say. Citation should be given in an appropriate place	Sir, thanks for your comments. We are against plagiarism and would not do that.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is written very poorly. The authors should be more careful writing the mathematical texts.	Thanks, we have affected the corrections and will be more careful next time.