



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Biotechnology Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BBJ_27517
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic Detection of Virulence Markers, Antibiotic and Disinfectant Susceptibility of Bacterial Isolates from Automated Teller Machine Keypads, Computer Keyboards and Mice in Uyo, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is recommended for publication subject to addressing the following observations/comments: Page 3, paragraph 3, line 3: ...some commercially sold disinfectants SHOULD BE REPLACED WITH ...some commercially-available disinfectants. Page 3, paragraph 4, line 3: ...be about 451,128 (give reference). Page 4, paragraph 2, line 6: (Figs. 1,2,3) SHOULD BE REPLACED WITH (Figures 1-3). Page 5, paragraph 2, line 4: ..diffusion method described by (19,20) SHOULD BE ...diffusion method (19, 20). Page 5, paragraph 3: Give relevant reference to the method – Detection of DNase.... Page 6, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4: Provide relevant references to each of the methods/techniques described. Page 7, paragraphs 1, 2 and 3: Give relevant references to each of the methods/techniques described.	The correction have been made The correction have been made The correction have been made References Given References Given References Given
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is strongly ADVISED that appropriate statistical analysis be employed to test whether the different sample sources (ATM, mice, keys, etc) have any significance or otherwise on the isolation rate as well as the susceptibility or otherwise of the isolates to the different test antibiotics and disinfectants. These, among others, will support the conclusion generated from the results obtained without any biasness. The manuscript SHOULD BE properly EDITED to	Duncan Multiple Range Test was done and this reflects in the results and tables The manuscript have been read again and



SDI Review Form 1.6

	minimize some technical and grammatical errors (see MINOR review comments above). Ethical issues: There is need to attach the ethical clearance certificate as an appendix where appropriate as evidence of permission to avoid any ethical doubts.	corrected. Not necessary. It is confidential. The authors have promised to treat every information , including the ethical approval (Written and verbal Approval) with confidentiality
--	--	---