



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Biotechnology Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BBJ_27279
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF PATHOGENIC MICROORGANISMS ALONG KABIANGA RIVER WATER BETWEEN JANUARY AND MARCH 2016 IN KERICHO COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Author(s) need to revise manuscript in order to comply with journal specification and improve the English language and scientific qualities of manuscript. Abstract: This section should rather be prepared in a structured format with subsections: Aims; Study Design; Place and Duration of Study; Methodology; Results; Conclusion. Entire manuscript should be checked through and all grammatical and typographical errors corrected. The assistance of an expert in the English language can be sought to improve language quality and hence understanding of audience. At the end of the Introduction section, the aims or objectives of the study should be stated briefly. All scientific names mentioned should be written in italicised format and written appropriately in terms of the genera and species names. For instance: <i>Salmonella</i> Typhi should be <i>Salmonella typhi</i> <i>pseudomonas aeurogenosa</i> should be <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> The symbol for PH should rather be correctly written as pH.	Corrected Changes made Changes made Corrected Correct nomenclature done. Corrections done

	Scientific names listed on Table 3 should be italicised. References: Problems with references. Journal specifications not adhered to. Many of the literatures cited are rather too old and more recent literatures should be cited in discussing findings. References should be arranged numerically or serially in the order in which they were cited in the text of manuscript. Citations in text should be done by putting the corresponding number of the reference being cited in parenthesis rather than the actual names of author(s) and year of publication. Exapmles of reference re-written according to journal format is: Byleveld PM, Deere D, Davison A. Water Safety Plans: Planning for adverse events and communicating with consumers. J Water Health. 2008;6;1-9.	Correct reference done. Corrections done.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		