



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_44122
Title of the Manuscript:	Variance in Protease, Dehydrogenase, Phosphatase and Respiratory Activities during Phytoremediation of Crude Oil Polluted Agricultural Soil Using <i>Schwenkia americana</i> and <i>Spermacoce ocymoides</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is important publication to clarify the plant action in phytoremediation and proteases, phosphatases enzymes' role.	
Minor REVISION comments	2.1 (p.4) table 1 it is important the author explain why the before time have same mean and the same standard deviation. 2.2. (p.5) table 2 it is important the author explain why the before time have same mean and the same standard deviation. 2.3 (p.5) table 3 it is important the author explain why the before time have same mean and the same standard deviation. 2.4 (p.6) table 4 is important the author explain why the before time have same mean and the same standard deviation. 2.5 (p.6) table 6 it is important the author explain why the before time have same mean and the same standard deviation.	
Optional/General comments	I suggest to the author consider the possibility to make an English review with an English language specialist.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Eduardo José Azevedo Corrêa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Brazil</i>