

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_43840
Title of the Manuscript:	Parasitic Profile of Fresh Vegetables sold in Selected Markets of the Cape Coast Metropolis in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the many his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Herein, Ghanaian authors present a very interesting article, especially in tropical Africa, on	
	the epidemiological chain of intestinal parasites. It is a very well written article, which	
	remains mostly succinct and therefore very easy to read.	
	On the substance	
	My prime concern, which was the search for other parasites including Cryptosporidium	
	oocysts, were well taken into account and cited as limit in the end of the discussion.	
	However, regarding the very high number of larvae of S. stercoralis found, it seems	
	important to give more details on the methodology that authors allowed to differentiate	
	these larvae with these of Ankylostoma, Rhabditis or other strongyles for example, that can	
	all be found on the soil.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	In the presentation of the study site (Line 41 to 48), the conditions of vegetable cultivation	
	should be detailed, especially if the farmers use fertilizers of human or animal origin and if	
	there is use of wastewater.	
	In laboratory procedures (L61-69), it is necessary to specify in case in which the vegetables	
	are contaminated (recovered) with sands, did you remove the sand before dipping them in	
	water?	

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree
		highlight that part in the man
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khadim DIONGUE
Department, University & Country	Cheikh Anta DIOP University of Dakar, Senegal

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write