

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40947
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of Delignification, Desilication and Cellulosis Content Availability in Paddy Straw via Physico-Chemical Pro
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Article is very interesting and can help to improve the utilization of rice straw. The Article is well written, clear and objective. However, the objective of this research was to determine which were the bests pre- treatments "physical and chemical" to maintain the cellulose quantity in rice straw. Therefore, the conclusions shall to include something about the physical pre- treatments.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronaldir Knoblauch
Department, University & Country	Brazil

Pre-treatments

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write