

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_39469
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESIDUE IN COMMERCIAL EGGS IN MAIDUGURI METROPOLIS, BORNO STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 In sample processing, the author says that the egg surface was cleansed using 70% alcohol. The author must show that 70% alcohol can kill all bacteria on the surface. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 The author can concretely indicate the mechanism of antimicrobial residue into egg as the residue is different among each area. The line 175th should be made using a sentence containing one subject and verb. 	
Optional/General comments	This study is useful for people. Therefore, the paper can be accepted after revised.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagahito Saito
Department, University & Country	Japan

