SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Annual Research & Review in Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARRB_38879**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cytochrome b diversity and phylogeny of six Egyptian sheep breeds**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- You must describe the geographic areas in which the animals are raised. The samples were taken in the same region or in different regions. Are the animals related? The choice of animals sampled must be explained. The characteristics of the selected regions must be described. 2- A figure of the agarose gel showing the amplified band in some animals should be added to the manuscript. 3- How was the alignment of the sequences done? Did you use a sequence in the databanks (like NCBI) as a reference? You have to give the reference sequence.	
Minor REVISION comments	4- The acronyms used in Table 1 must be defined before. 5- You can't find a part dedicated to conclusions in your manuscript. A paragraph should be added showing the contribution of this work to scientific research in your area of interest and possible prospects.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the scientific approach is acceptable.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Haifa El-Hentati*
Department, University & Country	*Tunisia*