



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_44374
Title of the Manuscript:	Population dynamics of metazoan parasites of Rhizoprionodon acutus from Nellore Coast off Bay of Bengal.
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract needs to include a sentence with results inside, not generally but also numerical.</p> <p>The Introduction is of the right quantity BUT a lot of work must be done by the reader, in finding and reading about the subjects. A significant addition could be the discuss of studies made in the lab, with infection of fish and other marine organisms. In this section, please check my comment in the Ethical issues below.</p> <p>The Methodology section didn't include the permission for infecting organisms with parasites in the lab. The methodology section is also noted to be very long.</p> <p>The Discussion and Conclusion sections could be a unit and perhaps less in quantity.</p>	<p>Abstract Changed with inclusion of result in it.</p> <p>Checked for ethical issues.</p> <p>The fish is in commercial and dead and no fish were infected with parasites. We have survey the natural occurring parasites and their population dynamics within the host.</p> <p>Discussion and conclusion improved.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	In Line 8, the taxonomist's name must be within brackets.	Added brackets
Optional/General comments	The study is well written and presented. The area of fish toxicology is very important for both scientific and commercial reasons.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>To infect clean organisms with parasites requires an approval or licence from bioethical organisations, such as the University's bioethical committee or the Nation's relevant organisations, etc.</p>	